SEP 0 3 2008

THADE

Poc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

*Total of

_ forms are submitted.

m to

PTO/SB/33 (08-08)
Approved for use through 09/30/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Office the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.			
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) 132763	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF; Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450; Alexandria, VA 22313 1450" [37 CFR 1 8(a)]	Application N		Filed 02/07/2006
on 1 September, 2008 Signature		First Named Inventor an Kristensson	
Typed or printed Mette Klein name ————————————————————————————————————	Art Unit 3749		Examiner Samantha Miller
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Robe	rt C. Casad	Signature or printed name
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 51,535	+45 33 37 67 58		
	• —	Telep	phone number
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	1 September, 2008		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

Application number: 10/567,914

Art unit: 3749

First named inventor: Jan Kristensson

Title: Air supply device.

Filing Date: February 7, 2006

Examiner Name: Samantha A. Miller Attorney Docket Number: 132763

Argument for pre-appeal brief request for review.

In response to the office action mailed in this matter June 12, 2008, applicants respectfully request pre-appeal brief review in this matter. As set forth in the argument below, the examiner's rejection is clearly improper and without basis.

(1). Question on appeal.

The examiner finds that all claims are unpatentable as obvious over the applicant's own prior art patent 5,167,577, in light of German patent DE 2608792. The specification clearly describes at p. 4, line 21 to 37, new, inventive features that improve over the applicant's prior patent [emphasis added]:

"Figure 5 illustrates what is happening at a prior art device. Here, <u>non-parallel partial air streams</u> 6a are discharged, which instead are directed partially towards each other, which means that said partial air streams 6a collide with each other and become turbulent. Hereby, a wide turbulent zone 7a is generated around the clean-air zone 7 and outside thereof the air is subjected to substantial turbulence, which is shown with arrows.

Figure 6 illustrates with a similar view what is happening at a device according to the invention. Here, *parallel partial air streams* 6a are

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

other when they flow out and thereby, <u>a laminar air flow is generated</u> without turbulence close to the body 11 or farther out therefrom. This in turn results in that the turbulent zone 7a around the clean-air zone 7 becomes more narrow and the turbulence around it less."

The applicants previously amended the sole independent claim to include the limitation "wherein the air flow generated through said air permeable body is <u>substantially laminar.</u>"

The question on appeal is, thus, whether the device described in DE2608792 could produce air flows that are substantially laminar.

A common definition of "laminar flow" is given by Wikipedia: "Laminar flow, sometimes known as streamline flow, occurs when a fluid flows in *paralell* layers with no disruption between the layers...It is the opposite of turbulent flow"

The examiner concludes that the German patent (i) describes a device having rectilinear air passges and (ii) clearly states that the air flow which it generates is "turbulence free."

(2). The examiner clearly errs in concluding that the German device provides "hexagon air passages made up of 6 straight lines."

The examiner erroneously characterizes the air jets described by the German reference as "hexagon air passages." The German patent refers to a "honeycombed jet <u>casing</u>" (emphasis added). The "radially arranged expanding jets" are <u>conical</u> having a <u>cone angle</u> less than 15 degrees. This is clearly explained in the examiner's own original citation of the German reference from a Derwent abstract: "Multiple nozzle for producing a radial displacement air flow for germ-free rooms, comprises a cylindrical air inlet pipe section

leading into the circular sectional plane of a cup-shaped member. The surface of the latter consists of a honeycomb-like arrangement of <u>conical nozzles</u> of which the <u>cone angle</u> is less than 15 deg. (emphasis added)"

(3). The examiner clearly errs in concluding that the displacement flow produced by the device described in DE2608792 is "clearly described as turbulent-free air."

The examiner erroneously characterizes the German reference as describing a device that produces displacement flow which is "clearly described as turbulent-free air." The German reference solves a problem in the prior art clean air ventilation systems in that disturbance sources such as lamps, human beings and technical devices can produce contaminated air. The German patent refers to two general prior art systems for clean air ventilation. In one such prior art system, "turbulence free displacement flows, coming from one side of the room...are generated which deviate floating particles from the clean-air room." In "another arrangement" described by the German reference, "clean air flow is conducted towards an operating area through an axial and turbulent...jet."

The German reference teaches a solution to the problem of "disturbance sources" in a clean air room by conducting displacement flows radially (i.e., precisely NOT parallel) against the disturbance source. The German reference plainly teaches that its *conical* air jets produce a *conical expansion* of the supply air directed *radially* against a disturbance source. The unparallel displacement flow having conical expansion could not be laminar flow in any case. Not only does the German reference NOT assign any importance to laminar air flow, it teaches that a "protection layer of wire mesh" is critical to the device. Such a wire mesh would introduce substantial turbulences, as the applicants have clearly explained in post-interview email communications with the examiner.

The applicants respectfully submit that the examiner has clearly erred in concluding that the air flows produced by the device described in DE2608792 would be substantially laminar. Accordingly, the applicants request allowance of all claims.

Respectfully for the applicants,

Robert C. Casad, Jr.

USPTO Registration Number: 51, 535

BUDDE SCHOU A/S

Vester Søgade 10 DK-1601 Copenhagen V, Denmark

Tel: +45 7025 0900

Direct tel: +45 3337 6758

Fax: +45 7025 0901

E-mail: mailto:RC@buddeschou.dk